



## Estimation of Serum Tumor Markers and Some Biochemical Parameters of Breast Cancer Patients

Eman Salim Mahmood<sup>1</sup>, Mohammed I. Majeed<sup>2</sup>, Intisar Ghanim Taha<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Basic Dental Sciences, College of Dentistry, University of Mosul, Iraq

<sup>2</sup>Department of Pharmacy, Technical Institute Mosul, Northern Technical University, Iraq

<sup>3</sup>Department of Sciences, College of Basic Education, University of Mosul, Iraq

**Abstract:** The study's goal was to determine the levels of certain tumor markers (CA15.3, CEA) and some biochemical parameters (calcium, vitamin D<sub>3</sub>, alkaline phosphatase, uric acid, creatinine, and urea) in breast cancer women with different stages. Patients in the study were clinically and histologically diagnosed as having early stage One and stage 2 breast cancer, advanced phase 3 breast cancer, or metastatic fourth stage breast cancer. From February 2020 to March 2021, 140 people with tumors visited Mosul's oncology and nuclear medicine facility, were chosen for the current study, and they were separated into three groups: Group 1 had 45 patients (stages I & II); Group 2: 46 patients with (stage III); Group 3: 49 patients with (stage IV); and 45 normal controls. Cancer antigen 15.3 (CA15.3) and carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) levels were detected.

The current study's findings demonstrate a highly significant increase in CEA and CA15.3 values in women with breast cancer as compared to the control group, and that this increase is associated to advanced stages. Furthermore, there was a significant decline in vitamin D levels in women with breast cancer when compared to the control group, while revealing a significant rise in the levels of alkaline phosphatase, uric acid and creatinine. No significant difference in calcium and urea levels was observed. The study of blood markers and other biochemistry indicators may be a valuable diagnostic technique in tracking the progress of breast cancer illness.

**Key Words:** Breast cancer, tumor markers, biochemical parameters, cancer antigen 15.3 (CA15.3), carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA)

### 1. Introduction

Breast cancer is a frequent malignant tumor that kills women, and its prevalence rate in

Iraq is growing year after year. The fatality rate from breast cancer is declining, despite

the disease's higher incidence rate, due to early detection and improved treatment [1]. Serum tumor indicators are useful in early diagnosis, detecting disease progression, recurrence, tumor metastasis, and evaluating therapy effectiveness [2].

The cancer antigen CA15.3 is a mucin that belongs to a wide family of glycoproteins released by breast cancer cells, and its levels may rise as the disease advances and fall when the tumor reacts to cancer therapy [3]. CA15.3 levels may be greater than normal in cancers of the lung, pancreas, ovary, and prostate, but not as high as in breast cancer. Endometriosis, pelvic inflammatory disease and liver illness are examples of non-cancerous diseases that raise CA15.3. It is also possible to rise during pregnancy [4].

Carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) is a protein that has a role in cell adhesion. CEA is generally created throughout fetal development; however, it is no longer produced prior to delivery. As a result, it is seldom seen in the blood of healthy people [5]. CEA levels can be found to be elevated in cancers of the colon, lung, liver, breast, prostate, pancreas, ovary, and stomach. It is also elevated in

several benign conditions, including inflammatory bowel disease, Crohn's disease, pulmonary infection, and renal failure. Levels are also increased in smokers [6]. Calcium is required for a variety of physiological processes, including gene transcription and cell growth, proliferation, migration, differentiation, and to fight many human illnesses, such as breast cancer and signaling abnormalities [7].

Alkaline phosphatase is a member of the hydrolysis enzyme family. ALP is mostly derived from the bones and liver in healthy persons, with modest contributions from the kidney and leukocytes [8].

In most cases, a high serum ALP content is connected with bile obstruction, cholestasis, liver illness, hepatitis, and malignancy. People with primary and metastatic liver and bone cancers, such as colorectal cancer hepatic malignancies and breast cancer with bone and liver involvement, have higher serum ALP levels [9]. Alkaline phosphatase determination (ALP) isoenzyme activity can aid in the diagnosis and clinical assessment of cancer patients.

## 2. Materials and Methods

### Patients:

Serum samples were collected from 185 women aged 25 to 65 years, 45 of these women were control and 140 women were

Group 1: 45 patients with (stages I and II), 46 individuals with (stage III), and 49 patients with (stage IV). All the patients had just been

### Obtaining Blood Samples:

All patients and controls had 5 mL of blood drawn from them, and the serum was

breast cancer patients attending the oncology and nuclear medicine hospital in Mosul from February 2020 to March 2021.

diagnosed with breast cancer and had had no treatment, radiation, chemotherapy, or any other type of hormone therapy

centrifuged for 15 minutes at 4000 rpm before being stored at -20°C until analysis.

## Methods:

CA15.3, CEA and vitamin D<sub>3</sub> levels in serum were determined by using an Enzyme Immunoassay kit based on the principle of Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay [ELSA] (Dinabot, Tokyo, Japan). Serum calcium, alkaline phosphatase, uric acid, creatinine, and urea were measured by colorimetric method using a kit of Biolabo's manufacturing. A colorimetric approach was performed for the determination of serum calcium according to procedure in Panteghini

et al. (2012) [10], alkaline phosphatase activity was estimated according to procedure in Kind and King (1954) [11], and serum uric acid was determined by an enzymatic method according to procedure in Burtis et al. (2015) [12]. Serum creatinine was estimated using the colorimetric method according to procedure in Mažeikienė and Kaminskas (2012) [13] and urea serum was estimated by the same method according to procedure in Burtis et al. (1999) [14].

## Statistical analysis:

SPSS 17 was used to compute the mean and standard deviation for all statistics in the study (SD). The T-Test was used to examine the importance of the distinction in mean

values. A p 0.05 number indicates significant, whereas a p >0.05 value indicates non-significant.

## 3. Results & Discussion

The following are the results of the several biochemical parameters examined in the study for patients and controls:

**Table 1. Mean ± SD of Women's Ages and BMI Among Different Disease Stages of Studied Groups**

Parameter	Control	Breast Cancer Group		
	n=45	Group 1 n=45	Group 2 n=46	Group 3 n=49
Age (years)	48.9±9.7	49.1±12.4	48.5±9.9	50.2±10.2
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	30.5±6.1	31.4±7.6	30.8±6.6	31.3±6.4

### CA15.3 in Breast Serum Cancer:

The data in Table 2 revealed a substantial rise (p 0.001) in serum CA15.3 in the first and second groups, and a significant increase (p

0.0001) in serum CA15.3 in the third group of breast cancer patients vs. control groupings. The results are congruent with

those of prior studies which have shown that tumor markers CA15.3 are greater in advanced case breast cancer than in early-stage breast cancer [15]. Additionally, a recent study by Khushk et al. (2021) [16] showed that patients with malignant tumors

had considerably higher CEA and CA15.3 values than a person with type I breast cancer [17], underlining the significance of serum CA15.3 as a useful marker for tracking the course of breast cancer and detecting metastasis in patients [18].

**Table 2. Levels of CA15.3 and CEA in Patients with Breast Cancer and Control**

groups Parameters	Control	First group Mean ± SD	Second group Mean ± SD	Third group Mean ± SD
CA15.3(U/mL)	14.5+1.7	22.6+1.3 **	33.9+2.7**	46.8+2.2***
CEA (ng/mL)	1.42±0.34	3.5±0.2 **	6.3±0.7***	7.5±0.4***

\*\* Significant distinction at  $p \leq 0.001$

\*\*\* Significant distinction at  $p \leq 0.0001$

### Serum CEA in Breast Cancer:

The results in Table 2 demonstrated that there was a considerable rise ( $p < 0.001$ ) in concentrations in the blood serum of CEA in the first group of cancer patients, and a significant rise ( $p < 0.0001$ ) in CEA serum in the second and third groups compared to breast cancer patients within the control groups. A similar finding has been reported by Mohammed et al. (2021) which showed

that increased serum levels of CEA were shown in stage III breast cancer [19] and consistent with the study by Yerushalmi et al. (2012) which showed a significant correlation between elevated serum tumor marker levels and tumor stage [20]. Consequently, the usefulness of serum markers for cancer detection is stressed [21].

### Serum Calcium in Breast Cancer:

The results in Table 3 show that the serum calcium concentrations of women with breast cancer did not differ significantly ( $p > 0.05$ ). no significant subtle difference at ( $p > 0.05$ ) in serum calcium in the groups of breast cancer women. These findings concur with earlier research [22,23] that found there is no proven connection between the stage of a tumor and calcium levels and subsequent

research discovered no link between overall calcium and risk of cancer in postmenopausal individuals [24].

It is generally documented that calcium functions as an intracellular messenger in cell proliferation, death, as well as the transmission of a wide variety of signals [25].

**Table 3. Serum Level of Some Biochemical Parameters (Calcium, Vitamin D<sub>3</sub>, Alkaline Phosphatase, Uric Acid, Creatinine, and Urea) in Patients with Breast Cancer**

groups Parameters	Control group Mean ± SD	First group Mean ± SD	Second group Mean ± SD	Third group Mean ± SD
Ca <sup>+2</sup> (mg/dl)	8.9±0.2	9.1±0.7	9.2±0.52	9.3±0.60
Vitamin D (ng/mL)	21.1±1.12	19.1±1.9 *	18.6±1.8*	18.3±1.3 *
ALP (U/L)	77.8 ±6.5	167±11.38 ***	193.4±4.1 ***	356±5.2 ** *
Uric Acid (mg/dl)	4.22±1.3	6.7±1.3 **	7.4 ±1.1 **	8.4 ±0.9 **
Creatinine (mg/dL)	1.04±0.42	1.6±0.37 **	1.8 ±0.55 **	1.8 ±0.5 **
Urea (mg/dl)	35.9 ± 3.8	36.0 ± 1.5	36.3 ± 2.2	36.2 ± 2.7

\* Significant distinction at  $p \leq 0.005$ , \*\* Significant distinction at  $p \leq 0.001$

\*\*\* Significant subtle difference at  $p \leq 0.0001$

### Serum Vitamin D<sub>3</sub> in Breast Cancer:

The results in Table 3 showed a considerable reduction ( $p > 0.05$ ) in serum D<sub>3</sub> vitamin levels in all groups of cancer breast patients. Vitamin D levels and the risk of developing breast cancer have been proven to be inversely related in numerous studies [26,27]. Another study by Park et al. (2015) discovered that blood vitamin D levels of 20 ng/mL were related with a 27% increased risk of breast cancer than someone with appropriate vitamin D levels (25(OH)D > 20 ng/ml) [28]. According to a study by Mawer

et al. (1997), blood levels of 25(OH)D<sub>3</sub> decrease with increasing tumor stage in breast cancer [29] and that vitamin D<sub>3</sub>'s active form, 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D, has an anticancer impact by promoting cellular differentiation, activating apoptosis, blocking angiogenesis, and limiting cancer cell development [30]. Vitamin D has a vital role in inducing apoptosis, neuronal differentiation promotion, has anti-inflammatory and anti-angiogenic effects, and suppresses angiogenesis, invasion and metastasis [31].

### Serum Alkaline Phosphatase in Breast Cancer:

Clearly, as seen in Table 3, there was a sharp rise ( $p \leq 0.0001$ ) in alkaline phosphatase serum (ALP) in all groups of breast cancer patients all groups of as compared to the control. These findings support prior research that found a considerable increase in non-metastatic cases, with the number of cases without metastasis going up by a factor of six, while the number of cases with metastasis went up by a factor of four. [32].

various carcinogenesis development stages [33], Mishra et al. (2004) discovered a continuous increase in ALP levels in metastasis [34]. The increase in ALP indicates that the malignancy has progressed to the bones or liver [35]. The increased serum ALP is caused by the enzyme's quicker de novo synthesis and subsequent regurgitation into the serum. In breast cancer patients, a gradual rise in serum ALP activity is a sign of metastasis [34].

Similar to Singh et al. (2013), who discovered a considerable increase in ALP levels at

### Serum in Uric Acid Breast Cancer:

The outcomes listed in Table 2 revealed a considerable rise ( $p \leq 0.001$ ) in serum uric acid in all groups of breast cancer women as compared to the control. A similar finding has been reported by other investigators [36]. A high amount of serum uric acid is linked to

a number of illnesses, the most common of which is renal failure. According to Veni et al. (2011), the dramatically increased uric acid levels in women with breast cancer who have not received any treatment may be connected to oxidative stress [37].

### Serum Creatinine Breast Cancer:

Tables 2 and 3 demonstrate that serum creatinine levels in the first, second, and third groups of breast cancer women rise significantly ( $p \leq 0.001$ ) in contrast to healthy controls. Creatinine levels in the blood are

regarded as more responsive than BUN in determining renal function. Because renal illness is the sole reason for elevated creatinine levels, Devi et al. observed an increase in creatinine levels in 2015 [38].

### Serum Urea in Breast Cancer:

The statistical examination of the data revealed no significant change in serum urea between these groups ( $p > 0.05$ ). All of the

groups' results were within the normal range, which is consistent with previous findings [38].

## 4. Conclusion

Patients with advanced forms of breast cancer reported considerably higher levels of CEA and CA15.3 than those with early-type breast cancer, implying that these tumor markers' serum levels may be more efficient than early detection in maintaining advanced malignancies and may have a vital role in the

early detection of metastasis in breast cancer patients.

The examination of serum biochemical characteristics might be a useful diagnostic tool for illness and metastatic surveillance.

## 5. References

1. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics. *Ca-Cancer J. Clin.*, 2016, 66, 7-30.
2. Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *Ca-Cancer J. Clin.*, 2018, 68(6), 394-424.
3. Lee JS, Park S, Park JM, Cho JH, Kim SI, Park BW. Elevated levels of preoperative CA 15–3 and CEA serum levels have independently poor prognostic significance in breast cancer. *Ann. Oncol.*, 2013, 24, 1225-1231.

4. Incoronato M, Mirabelli P, Catalano O, Aiello M, Parente C, Soricelli A. CA15.3 is a useful serum tumor marker for diagnostic integration of hybrid positron emission tomography with integrated computed tomography during follow-up of breast cancer patients. *BMC Cancer*, 2014, 14, 356. 10.1186/1471-2407-14-356
5. Shrivastava V, Ghanghoria A, Mandloi D, Ghanghoria S. A prospective study on analysis of CA15.3 in breast cancer patients as a prognostic marker. *J. Dent. Med. Sci.*, 2015, 14, 5-8.
6. Di Gioia D, Heinemann V, Nagel D, Untch M, Kahlert S, Bauerfeind I. Kinetics of CEA and CA15.3 correlate with treatment response in patients undergoing chemotherapy for metastatic breast cancer (MBC). *Tumor Biol.*, 2011, 32, 777-785.
7. Cross BM, Breitwieser GE, Reinhardt TA, Rao R. Cellular calcium dynamics in lactation and breast cancer: From physiology to pathology. *Am. J. Physiol.: Cell Physiol.*, 2014, 306, C515-C526.
8. Aminian A, Karimian F, Mirsharifi R, Alibakhshi A, Hasani SM, Dashti H. Correlation of serum alkaline phosphatase with clinicopathological characteristics of patients with oesophageal cancer. *East. Mediterr. Health J.*, 2011, 17, 862-866.
9. Ramaswamy G, Rao VR, Krishnamoorthy L, Ramesh G, Gomathy R, Renukadevi D. Serum levels of bone alkaline phosphatase in breast and prostate cancer with bone metastasis. *Indian J. Clin. Biochem.*, 2000, 15(2), 110-113.
10. Panteghini M, Bais R in Tietz Textbook of Clinical Chemistry and Molecular Diagnostics, 5<sup>th</sup> ed., ed. CA Burtis, ER Ashwood, DE Bruns, Elsevier Saunders, Philadelphia, 2012, Serum Enzymes, pp 577-579.
11. Kind PR, King EJ. Estimation of plasma phosphatase by determination of hydrolyzed phenol with amino-antipyrine. *J. Clin. Pathol.*, 1954, 7, 322-326.
12. Burtis CA, Ashwood ER, Bruns DE in Tietz Textbook of Clinical Chemistry and Molecular Diagnostics, Saunders (an imprint of Elsevier, Inc., USA), 2015, pp 356, 368.
13. Mažeikienė A, Kaminskas A in Biochemistry Laboratory Manual, Vilnius University, Latvia, 2012, pp 1, 11, 28, 30, 32, 34. ISBN 978-609-459-125-9
14. Burtis CA, Ashwood ER in Tietz Textbook of Clinical Chemistry, 3<sup>rd</sup> ed., W.B. Saunders, Philadelphia, 1999.
15. Fu Y, Li H. Assessing clinical significance of serum CA15.3 and carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) levels in breast cancer patients: a meta-analysis. *Med. Sci. Monit.*, 2016, 22, 3154-3162.
16. Singh I, Singh J, Kaur R, Banipal R. Comparative study of CA15.3 levels in pre-treated breast cancer patients and controls. *Int. J. Contemp. Med. Res.*, 2018, 5(3), C1-C4.
17. Khushk M, Khan A, Rehman A, Sheraz S, Tunio YM, Khan ME. The role of tumor markers: Carcinoembryonic antigen and cancer antigen 15-3 in patients with breast cancer. *Cureus*, 2021, 13(7), e16298.

18. Daniele A, Divella R, Trerotoli P. Clinical usefulness of cancer antigen 15-3 in breast cancer patients before and after surgery. *Open Breast Cancer J.*, 2013, 5, 1-6, 15.
19. Mohammed F, Gamal L, Mosa M. Assessment of CA15.3 and CEA as potential markers for breast carcinoma prognosis in Egyptian females. *Afr. J. Basic Appl. Sci.*, 2021, 2(1), 44-50.
20. Yerushalmi R, Tyldesley S, Kennecke H, Speers C, Woods R, Knight B. Tumor markers in metastatic breast cancer subtypes: Frequency of elevation and correlation with outcome. *Ann. Oncol.*, 2012, 23(2), 338-345.
21. Tang S, Zhou F, Sun Y, Wei L, Zhu S, Yang R, Huang Y and Yang J. CEA in breast ductal secretions as a promising biomarker for the diagnosis of breast cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Breast Cancer*, 2016, 23, 813-819.
22. Thaw SS, Sahnoun A, Schwartz GG. Serum calcium, tumor size, and hormone receptor status in women with untreated breast cancer. *Cancer Biol. Ther.*, 2012, 13(7), 467-471.
23. Sprague BL, Skinner HG, Trentham-Dietz A, Lee KE, Klein BEK. Serum calcium and breast cancer risk in a prospective cohort study. *Ann. Epidemiol.*, 2010, 20, 82-85.
24. Almquist M, Manjer J, Bondeson L, Bondeson A-G. Serum calcium and breast cancer risk: Results from a prospective cohort study of 7,847 women. *Cancer, Causes Control*, 2007, 18(6), 595-602.
25. Ramasamy I. Recent advances in physiological calcium homeostasis. *Clin. Chem. Lab. Med.*, 2006, 44(3), 237-273.
26. Kim Y, Je Y. Vitamin D intake, blood 25(OH)D levels, and breast cancer risk or mortality: Meta-analysis. *Br. J. Cancer*, 2014, 110(11), 2772-2784.
27. Engel P, Fagherazzi G, Boutten A, Dupre T, Mesrine S, Boutron-Ruault M-C, Clavel-Chapelon F. Serum 25(OH) vitamin D and risk of breast cancer: a nested case-control study from the French E3N cohort. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev.*, 2010, 19(9), 2341-2350.
28. Park S, Lee DH, Jeon JY, Ryu J, Kim S, Kim JY. Serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D deficiency and increased risk of breast cancer among Korean women: A case-control study. *Breast Cancer Res. Treat.*, 2015, 152(1), 147-154.
29. Mawer EB, Walls J, Howell A, Davies M, Ratcliffe WA, Bundred NJ. Serum 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D may be related inversely to disease activity in breast cancer patients with bone metastases. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.*, 1997, 82, 118-22.
30. Feldman D, Krishnan AV, Swami S. The role of vitamin D in reducing cancer risk and progression. *Nat. Rev. Cancer*, 2014, 14(5), 342-357.
31. Feldman D, Krishnan AV, Swami S, Giovannucci E, Feldman BJ. The role of vitamin D in reducing cancer risk and progression. *Nat. Rev. Cancer*, 2014, 14 (5), 342-357.
32. Metwallya I, Zuhdy M, Hamdya O. Evaluation of serum alkaline phosphatase as a marker of



- metastasis in early breast cancer. *Revista de Senologia y Patologia Mamaria*, 2020, 33(2), 45-49.
33. Singh AK, Pandey A, Tewari M, Kumar R, Sharma A, Singh KA. Advanced stage of breast cancer hoist alkaline phosphatase activity: Risk factors for females in India. *Biotech*, 2013, 3, 517-520.
  34. Mishra S, Sharma DC, Sharma P. Studies of biochemical parameters in breast cancer with and without metastasis. *Indian J. Clin. Biochem.*, 2004, 19(1), 71-75.
  35. Ritzke C, Stieber P, Untch M, Nagel D., Eiermann W, Fateh-Moghadam A. Alkaline phosphatase isoenzymes in detection and follow up of breast cancer metastases. *Anticancer Res.*, 1998, 18(28), 1243-1249
  36. Chhabra RJ, Mangukiya K, Sharma N, Sharma R. Estimation of serum uric acid and bilirubin in breast cancer. *Scholars Acad. J. Pharm.*, 2015, 4(7), 337-339.
  37. Veni GK, Rao DB, Kumar DM, Usha B, Krishna VM, Roa TR. Clinical evaluation of oxidative stress in women with breast cancer. *Recent Res. Sci. Technol.*, 2011, 3(1), 55-58.
  38. Devi LI, Ralte L, Ali MA. Serum biochemical profile of breast cancer patients. *Eur. J. Pharm. Med. Res.*, 2015, 2, 210-214.